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About the DC Public Charter School Board

DC Public Charter School Board (PCSB) currently oversees 60 schools on 109 campuses, which serve nearly 37,000 students from every ward of the city. The organization’s mission is to provide high quality public school options for District of Columbia students, families, and communities through four functions:

- **A comprehensive review application process**—ensures that the PCSB only approves charter school applications that will prepare and train students for post secondary experiences and individual career paths;
- **Effective oversight**—holds schools to high standards for results, with extensive reviews and data collection, and makes oversight decisions with the best interests of students in mind;
- **Meaningful support**—provides clear feedback and increased oversight to low performing schools, and rewards consistently high-performing schools with more autonomy; and
- **Active engagement of stakeholders**—solicits community input and strives to be responsive to and transparent with all who are impacted by and impact PCSB and public charter schools.

PCSB’s vision is to lead the transformation of public education in DC and to serve as a national model for charter school authorizing and accountability.

At the heart of the organization’s core values is the belief that every child is entitled to a high quality education that will enable him or her to leave high school well-prepared for college and career.

A mayor-appointed governing board of seven with a professional staff of 34 is responsible for the oversight and management of the organization’s mission and vision.
Performance Management Framework Overview

The School Reform Act (SRA) grants PCSB authority to hold DC public charter schools accountable for fulfilling their duties and obligations under the Act. PCSB has developed and updated the Performance Management Framework Guidelines (Guidelines) to outline the process by which it evaluates and publicly reports the performance of the public charter schools under its authority.

To assess a charter school’s performance, PCSB has developed an evaluation framework comprising indicators, measures, and metrics. This structure has been adapted from a report by the National Consensus Panel on Charter School Academic Quality.¹

- **Indicators** are defined as “general dimensions of quality or achievement.”
- **Measures** are defined as “general instruments or means to assess performance in each area defined by an indicator.”
- **Metrics** are defined as “the calculation method or formula for a given measure.”

As an example, a common indicator of student performance is academic achievement level, a common measure of academic achievement is performance on statewide assessments, such as the DC-CAS, and a related performance metric is the percentage of students in a school who score at least proficient on the assessment.

There is a separate PMF for early childhood, elementary/middle school, high school, and adult education, as described in more detail below. If an individual campus spans more than one of these grade configurations, each grade configuration is scored and reported separately. When the Board uses the PMF score as input to decisions regarding expansion, enrollment ceilings, or other requests, it will evaluate all of the campuses and grades within the LEA.

Monitoring Charter Schools’ Performance

PCSB assesses performance using PMF results for all schools that are eligible for one of the frameworks and uses “Accountability Plan” results (described further below) for the rest. Using the PMF, PCSB designates a school as a high-performer (Tier 1), mid-performer (Tier 2), or low-performer (Tier 3), respectively. Schools evaluated under an Accountability Plan are not currently tiered. With the adoption of PMFs for early childhood and adult, only schools designated by PCSB to meet the definition for Alternative Accountability will be evaluated under Accountability Plans.

PMF Performance Tiers

Using a 100-point scale and based on the scores for the academic scoring screen, standard schools are identified as Tier 1 (high-performers), Tier 2 (mid-performers), or Tier 3 (low-performers). Tier 1 schools earn at least 65.0% of the possible points. Tier 2 schools earn between 35.0% and 64.9% of the possible points. Tier 3 schools earn less than 35.0% of the possible points. A school must meet the threshold for points for each tier; points are not rounded up to the next whole number. The threshold points for identifying each tier is set every few years and identified through an assessment of past overall school performance across all public charter schools. PCSB responds to PMF tiers as follows:

**Tier 1**

High performing schools are publically recognized as such by PCSB and are generally exempt from Qualitative Site Reviews (QSRs) unless conducted as part of the charter review or renewal cycle or are designated a Focus/Priority status by the Office of the State Superintendent of Education.

---

Education (OSSE). Tier 1 schools are encouraged to expand, replicate or otherwise grow to serve more students.

Tier 2
Mid-performing schools are exempt from QSRs unless conducted as part of the charter review or renewal cycle or are designated a Focus/Priority status OSSE, and are encouraged to work with charter support organizations and other schools to improve their academic and non-academic programs. Lower performing Tier 2 schools, scoring 40 or below, often are out of compliance with the goals and academic achievement expectations in their charter (Charter Goals), and thus are at risk of nonrenewal at the expiration of the school’s charter, or of closure during the comprehensive charter review that PCSB conducts of each LEA at least once every five years (High-Stakes Review). These schools should be proactive in searching for turnaround or re-start options to avoid this outcome. Turnarounds take time and should be undertaken at least one year prior to an upcoming High-Stakes Review and several years before the expiration of the charter.

Tier 3
PCSB commences a QSR for low-performing schools during the school year following the year in which the school qualified as Tier 3. PCSB also meets with the school leader and board chair to discuss the school’s performance trajectory.

Tier 3 charter schools that meet one or more of the following three criteria are immediately subject to a High Stakes Review as a “Candidate for Charter Revocation” to determine whether their charter should be revoked pursuant to the SRA.

- Schools scoring below 20 percentage points in the most recent year;
- Schools with a 5 percentage point decrease or greater within Tier 3 from one year to the next; or,
- Schools performing in Tier 3 for three of the previous five years.

Candidates for Charter Revocation
PCSB may revoke a charter at any time for several reasons defined in the SRA, including if a school fails to meet its Charter Goals. PCSB normally conducts extensive analysis into whether or not a school is meeting its Charter Goals during its High Stakes Reviews, which occur at least once every five years. As noted above, schools that perform poorly on the PMF are often also failing to meet their Charter Goals or are otherwise out of compliance with the SRA.

Schools that are Candidates for Charter Revocation as a result of their Tier 3 status undergo a High-Stakes Review immediately to determine whether the school has met its Charter Goals and is otherwise compliant with the SRA. Prior to the charter’s expiration, the SRA gives PCSB discretion over whether or not to revoke a charter for failure to meet Charter Goals. In the case of Candidates for Charter Revocation, PCSB staff will generally recommend charter revocation if a school has failed to meet any of its Charter Goals.

---

2 At 15 years, when a school’s charter expires, the SRA prescribes that PCSB may not renew the charter if the school has failed to meet its Charter Goals.
Part I: ES/MS/HS Framework Eligibility and Reporting Business Rules

This section of the guidelines focuses on the different types of frameworks and the business rules that determine which framework applies to unique campuses.

Elementary School Framework
- The Elementary School Framework covers grades 3 through 5.
- If an elementary school is in its first year of operation, data is collected on all available measures. Schools receive a final score, but do not receive a tier during their first year of operation.
- If a school ends with 3rd grade and has no other tested grades, it will not be measured by the Elementary PMF. Instead, it is measured by the Early Childhood PMF, which spans grades prekindergarten-3 (PK-3) through grade 3. (See Part III for more information about the Early Childhood PMF.)
- If a school does not have 3rd grade but has grades 4 and 5, it is not evaluated on the gateway metric and the school is evaluated using a total of 85 points.
- If a school ends in 6th grade and has at least one other grade from 3rd – 5th, the 6th grade is considered part of the elementary school framework.

Middle School Framework
- The Middle School Framework covers grades 6 through 8.
- If a middle school is in its first year of operation, data is collected on all available measures. Schools receive a final score, but are not tiered during their first year of operation.
- If a school does not have 8th grade but has grades 6 and 7, it is not evaluated on the gateway measure and the school is evaluated using a total of 85 points.
- If a school ends in 9th grade and has at least one other grade from 6th – 8th, then its 9th grade is considered part of the middle school framework.
- If a school starts at 8th grade, expecting to become a high school campus, it is evaluated using the high school framework with the 8th grade considered part of the high school framework.
- If a school ends in 6th grade and has at least one other grade from 3rd – 5th, then its 6th grade is considered part of the elementary school framework.

Elementary/Middle School Combined Framework
- A school must have two grades between the 3rd – 5th grade range and two grades between the 6th – 8th grade range to be evaluated using the Elementary/Middle school framework.

 Gateway measures are designed to capture key subject area mastery, literacy at the elementary school level, and mathematics at the middle school level.
• If an elementary/middle school is in its first year of operation, data is collected on all available measures. Schools receive a final score, but are not be tiered during their first year of operation.

• If a school has both 3rd and 8th grade, the school receives 7.5 points for each gateway measure.

• If a school has either 3rd or 8th grade, the school receives 15 points for the applicable gateway measure (3rd or 8th).

• If a school has neither 3rd nor 8th grade, the school does not receive any points for the gateway measure and the school is evaluated using a total of 85 points.

• If a school ends in 9th grade, and has at least two other grades between the 3rd – 5th grade range and two grades between the 6th – 8th grade range, then it is evaluated using the Elementary/Middle school framework, and the 9th grade is considered part of the elementary/middle school framework.

**High School Framework**

• The High School Framework covers grades 9 through 12.

• If a high school is in its first year of operation, data is collected on all available measures. Schools receive a final score, but are not tiered during their first year of operation.

• A school must have at least a 10th grade to be evaluated using the high school framework. If the school just has 9th grade and middle school grades, it is measured using the middle school framework.

• If a high school does not contain the grade for which a common measure applies, then the points associated with that measure are removed and the total possible points available are adjusted. For example, a school that terminates in the 11th grade does not have graduation, SAT, AP, or College acceptance data. In this case, the school is evaluated against the remaining 72.5 points. Schools containing grade levels for which a common measure applies are held accountable for the relevant metric, even if they do not offer the measure. For example, a school that has 11th graders but does not offer the PSAT receives zero points on the PSAT metric.

For a list of all common measures and metrics please refer to Part II.

**School Reconfiguration**

If a school restructures its campus configuration then the PMF re-enrollment rate is calculated at the LEA level only during the subsequent year of school reconfiguration. For example, consider an LEA that has two campuses that span between grades PK – 6 and grades 7 – 12 and is PCSB-approved to reconfigure into three campuses serving grades PK – 5, 6 – 8 and 9 – 12. The re-enrollment rates for each campus during the subsequent year of reconfiguration will be the re-enrollment rate for the entire LEA – not each individual campus and the re-enrollment rate will be the same. If the reconfiguration results in new campuses, per PCSB’s “School, Campus, Facility Policy”, the new campuses will be treated as new schools for reporting purposes and will not receive a PMF tier in their first year of existence.

**High schools with Adult Education Campuses**

Students that transfer within an LEA from a high school campus to their adult education campus will not be eligible for re-enrollment within the high school. Since the high school is providing another education alternative for their students within the LEA, the school will not be penalized for the student not re-enrolling within the high school.
New Schools or Campuses within an LEA
In their first year of operation, data will be collected on all measures of the applicable performance framework. A new charter school will receive a final score that will be published, but the school will not be tiered during its first year of operation. Beginning in its second year, a new charter school will be held to the appropriate performance framework and will receive a Tier.

A special note on Median Growth Percentile (“MGP”) and new schools
Existing schools use a two-year weighted average to calculate MGP. If a school has only one year of Median Growth Percentile (“MGP”) data (e.g. it is a new school, offering 4th grade for the first time or a high school offering 10th grade for the first time), only one year of MGP data is used.

Part II: 2013-2014 ES/MS/HS Floors, Targets, Indicators and Weights
This section of the Tech Guide focuses on indicators, measures, and metrics common across all schools within each grade-span.

A. Indicators and Measures
For the 2013-2014 school year PCSB will use four indicators to measure academic performance for all schools serving grades 3-8 and high school: (1) student progress, (2) student achievement, (3) gateway measures, and (4) leading indicators. These four core indicators of academic achievement apply to every school with different weights assigned to the indicators depending on the grade span of the school.

### Elementary School Framework (Grades 3 – 5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Student Progress</td>
<td>Median Growth Percentile1 – Reading</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median Growth Percentile1 – Math</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>Student Achievement</td>
<td>DC-CAS Proficient and Above in Reading</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DC-CAS Proficient and Above in Math</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DC-CAS Advanced in Reading</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DC-CAS Advanced in Math</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Gateway</td>
<td>Proficient and Advanced 3rd Grade Reading</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Leading Indicators</td>
<td>Attendance</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>92.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Re-Enrollment</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Middle School Framework (Grades 6 - 8)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Student Progress</td>
<td>Median Growth Percentile1 – Reading</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median Growth Percentile1 – Math</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>Student Achievement</td>
<td>DC-CAS Proficient and Above in Reading</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DC-CAS Proficient and Above in Math</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DC-CAS Advanced in Reading</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DC-CAS Advanced in Math</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Gateway</td>
<td>Proficient and Advanced 8th Grade Math</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 The leading indicator is the performance on attendance, re-enrollment or 9th grade on-track (high school only).
5 The floor is the number at and below which a school receives zero points for that particular indicator.
6 The target is the number above which a school receives no more than the maximum points available for a particular indicator.
### Elementary/Middle School Framework (Grades 3 - 8)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Leading Indicators</td>
<td>Attendance</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>92.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Re-Enrollment</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Student Progress</td>
<td>Median Growth Percentile(^1) – Reading</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median Growth Percentile(^1) – Math</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>Student Achievement</td>
<td>Elementary DC-CAS Proficient and Above in Reading</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Elementary DC-CAS Proficient and Above in Math</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Elementary DC-CAS Advanced in Reading</td>
<td>1.25%</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Elementary DC-CAS Advanced in Math</td>
<td>1.25%</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle DC-CAS Proficient and Above in Reading</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle DC-CAS Proficient and Above in Math</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle DC-CAS Advanced in Reading</td>
<td>1.25%</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle DC-CAS Advanced in Math</td>
<td>1.25%</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Gateway</td>
<td>Proficient and Advanced 3rd Grade Reading</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proficient and Advanced 8th Grade Math</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Leading Indicators</td>
<td>Attendance</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>92.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Re-Enrollment</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### High School Framework (9 – 12)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Student Progress</td>
<td>Median Growth Percentile(^1) – Reading</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>65.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median Growth Percentile(^1) – Math</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>65.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Student Achievement</td>
<td>DC-CAS Proficient and Above in Reading</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DC-CAS Proficient and Above in Math</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DC-CAS Advanced in Reading</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DC-CAS Advanced in Math</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Gateway</td>
<td>Graduation Rate (Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate)</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PSAT Performance (11th Grade)</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAT Performance (12th Grade)</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>College Acceptance Rate</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>66.1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>College Readiness: Advanced Placement/International Baccalaureate/Dual Enrollment Achievement(^7)</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>Leading Indicators</td>
<td>Attendance</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>92.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Re-Enrollment</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9th Grade on track to graduate</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) For more information about Median Growth Percentile please see Part II: Section B

\(^7\) PCSB will pilot the Dual Enrollment component of this metric in SY2013-14 and publish results in the 2013-2014 PMF, not counting it towards a school’s overall score. Once the baseline is established, the floors and targets will be determined, and the metric will be incorporated in the 2014-2015 school year.
B. Metrics

Overview
PCSB uses various metrics to assign points to each common measure. For each metric, between zero and a maximum number of points is assigned, with the maximum number being the weight assigned that metric. The number of points a school earns for that metric is determined by where the school’s performance falls between the Floor and the Target.

For example, with the Elementary School PMF, the first metric is Median Growth Percentile—Reading. If a school’s MGP score were 50, that would place it exactly halfway between the floor of 30 and the target of 70. The school would therefore receive half of the possible points. Since the weight for this metric is 20%, the school would receive 10 points for this metric.

Under most circumstances the total possible points equals 100, and therefore a school’s total points would equate to its PMF score. In certain cases some metrics are not applicable, so the total points a school may earn would be less than 100 points. In these cases a school’s PMF score is determined by dividing its points by the total possible points.

The overall points each school receives determines a school’s PMF tier.

Performance bar metrics
Most common measures are based on the percentage of students who meet or exceed a certain performance bar. For example, with reading and math proficiency, schools are scored based on the percentage of students who meet or exceed the performance bar of “Proficient” on the 2014 state assessment, the DC-CAS. Each student either meets or does not meet the common measure; the metric is based on the percentage of all students that meet the standard.

The floor determines the minimum value for which any points are awarded. Public charter schools do not receive points for values that are at or below the floor. For example, the high school floor for re-enrollment is 64.6%. A school where 64.6% percent or less of its students re-enroll does not receive any points for the measure even though more than half of its eligible students may re-enroll in the school.

The target determines the value at which the maximum points for a common measure are awarded. Public charter schools do not receive points for values that are above the target. For example, the target for re-enrollment is 90%. A school where 92% of its students re-enroll receives the full amount of points available for the measure even though it re-enrolled more students than the targeted amount.

Not applicable metrics and missing data
Most data required to calculate the score for each charter school’s common measure is collected from third party data sources. Pursuant to the SRA 38-1802.11(2) public charter schools must provide PCSB with data needed for oversight. Failure for the school to provide the data results in the school earning zero points on the measure. However, when data for a common metric are not available due to issues beyond a school’s control, such as small sample sizes for growth measures that require statistical calculations, or if the n-size for a metric is less than 10, then the points associated with that measure are removed and the total possible points available are adjusted.

Rounding
All source data and metrics are rounded to the nearest tenth, except for the DC-CAS Advanced metric for schools that have elementary and middle school data. This is due to the weighting of these metrics being
equal to 1.25 points, where as all other metrics have weight values with one value after the decimal place (i.e. 2.5, 5.0, etc.)

Creating Floors & Targets
As stated above, the floor determines the minimum value for which any points are awarded. Charter schools receive no points for values that are below the floor. The target determines the value at which the maximum points for a common measure are awarded. Charter schools receive no points for values that are above the target. Floors and targets are set as follows:

2014 Floors

Formula for calculating PMF floors
Performance Management Frameworks floors are calculated based on the lowest 10th percentile of charter school performance over the past three years where the most recent year has 50% weight, the previous year has 30% weight and the year prior to that has 20% weight (floor =0.5*tenth_pctl + 0.3*tenth_pctl-1 + 0.2*tenth_pctl-2), where pctl = percentile, and t = the most recent year.

The following are exceptions to this rule:
- In no case will a PMF floor rise by more than 33.3% in any given year. If the weighted average would cause the floor to rise more than 33%, the floor will be artificially capped at 33.3%.
- If the three-year weighted average floor decreases by 33.3% or more, PCSB will decrease the floor by 33%.
- If there is a significant change in the measure used, (e.g. a change in formula for graduation rates, the state adopts a new state assessment) the floors will be readjusted to the 10th percentile of charter school performance for that new measure using only the year(s) when the new measure is applied and the results are publicly available.  
- If the baseline value of a floor is 0 and the 10th percentile of charter school performance is greater than 0 but less than .33, the new floor will be equal to the 10th percentile value. However, if the 10th percentile of charter school performance is greater than .33, then it will be raised to a value of .39.

2014 Targets

Targets will generally remain the same each year unless the 90th percentile is 33.3% or more above the current target or a measure is significantly changed, causing a target to be lowered to match the 90th percentile.

When available, the 90th percentile of school data, weighted over the past three years, was used to establish PMF targets.

Targets will remain the same each year, with the following exceptions:

8 This was done in 2012 when the state changed the methodology for calculating graduation rates, and will be done when the state converts its statewide assessment from DC CAS to PARCC.

9 PMF indicators are rounded to the tenth decimal.
- If the calculated 90th percentile is at or more than 33.3% above the current target, the target will be raised by 33.3%.
- If a measure is significantly changed (e.g. a new state assessment or a change in formula), and the target is currently not aspiration (i.e. 100%), the target will be recalculated to match the 90th percentile.
- When three years of archival data are not available, such as when a new measure is introduced or the formula is changed, one year of data will be used.

Each year, PCSB will consider whether to raise or lower the floors and targets as warranted by performance of the school sector or adjustment in a performance metric. Decisions to raise or lower floors and targets will be supported by comparing the effect of moving the floor/target on the Tier classification of schools with the previous Tier classifications of schools. If raising or lowering the floors would significantly alter (i.e. change Tier designation) the performance of more than one-quarter of the schools, PCSB may choose not make an adjustment.

**Median Growth Percentile**

*Student Growth Percentiles – Individual Student Growth Scores*

Traditional presentations of students’ state assessment proficiency scores reflect absolute achievement. These snapshots are useful for describing the performance level of students within a school for any given year but do little to explain the progress students are making.

In order to paint a more comprehensive picture of student and school performance, PCSB, in conjunction with OSSE, assesses public charter schools’ student progress by comparing changes in students’ state assessment scores to changes made by other students with similar score histories. In this way, students are only compared based on their previous scores, not on demographic metrics (such as race, gender, or socioeconomic status). This metric uses scores from all District students (including those at DCPS schools) to determine an academic peer group and to calculate individual student growth percentiles.\(^\text{10}\)

Percentiles are commonly understood values that express the percentage of cases that fall below a certain score. For example:

- A student with a student growth percentile of 77 in 6th grade mathematics grew as much or more than 77 percent of her academic peers (students with similar score histories) from the 5th grade DC CAS Mathematics to the 6th grade DC CAS Mathematics. Only 23 percent of her academic peers grew more in mathematics than she did.

or

- A student with a student growth percentile of 34 in 8th grade reading grew as well or better than 34 percent of her academic peers (students with similar score histories) from the 7th grade DC CAS reading to the 8th grade DC CAS reading. 66 percent of her academic peers grew more in reading than she did.

Since this growth measure is assessing change in performance, it is possible for students to have performed below the proficiency mark but to have shown a considerable amount of growth as compared

\(^{10}\) It is important to note that the growth model PCSB uses is test-agnostic, meaning that the same formula can be applied when there is a test change from year to year. PCSB is prepared to continue to use MGP in 2015 with 2014 DC CAS as baseline and the new state assessment as the growth measure.
to his peers with similar starting scores. This sort of occurrence could indicate that elements within a school’s program are working to help this student advance. Conversely, it is possible for students to be performing well above the proficiency mark but to have shown little growth as compared to his peers with similar starting scores. In this way, this growth measure serves to put state assessment scores into greater context.

**Median Growth Percentile**

To represent student growth at a school level, individual student growth percentiles are aggregated to create a Median Growth Percentile (MGP) score. After significant research and consultation, PCSB chose a two-year weighted MGP model as the most appropriate measure for reporting the growth of a school. This model finds the median (the middle number for scores arranged in order from least to greatest) of each school’s individual student growth percentiles for the past two years. This is known as the median growth percentile for a school.

PCSB reports student growth for reading and mathematics for grades 4 through 8, and grade 10 in the PMF as a two-year weighted average. Because the model requires data from at least two grade levels, students in grade 3 (the first testing grade) are not included in the calculation. PCSB computes an aggregated MGP by combining student growth percentiles over time within a subject and applies a weight equal to the number of students who took the test. If a school has only one year of MGP data (e.g. it is a new school, offering 4th grade for the first time or a high school offering 10th grade for the first time), only one year of MGP data is used.

As with student percentile scores, a school’s MGP is read as a percentile. Percentiles are commonly understood values that express the percentage of cases that fall below a certain score. For example:

- A school with an MGP of 77 means that the typical student attending its school grew as much or more than 77 percent of all other students attending DCPS or public charter schools. It does NOT mean that the school is in the 77th percentile, but that the typical student attending its school has reached that percentile.
- A school with an MGP of 34 means that the typical student attending its school grew as much or more than just 34 percent of all students attending DCPS or public charter schools. In other words, the median student growth score was below 66% of other students in the city.

**Metrics and data sources for academic performance measures**

The table below provides details about each of the measures included in the academic performance framework. Information is arranged as follows:

- **Indicator** – identifies the academic indicator (progress, achievement, gateway, leading) to which each measure belongs
- **Measure** – provides measure name and indicates which scoring frameworks include it
- **Description** – provides brief summary of measure
- **Components, method, and additional notes** - provides a more detailed description of all steps required to translate raw data into a measure calculation
- **Rationale** – available rationale for why the metric has been designed as it has

Unless otherwise indicated, all measures are grouped by the grade span of the performance framework to which they apply. Grade-spans are as follows:

- **ES/MS**: 3-8
- **HS**: 9-12
### Data sources and methodology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Components, method, additional notes</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Progress</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Growth measure that tells how much change or growth there has been in performance from year to year.</td>
<td>Score as provided by OSSE and validated by schools.</td>
<td>A measure of student progress that compares changes in a student’s state assessment scores to other students with similar score performance profiles. It tells how much change or growth there has been in performance from year to year. Requirement of two years of state assessment results of each student, but not necessarily from the same school (i.e. the baseline score can be from a different school.) It also doesn’t require that the assessment be the same for the two years. This positions PCSB to continue using MGP in the 2014-15 school year’s Performance Management Framework, even if the state adopts a new assessment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Growth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentile</td>
<td></td>
<td>Components 2014 DC-Comprehensive Assessment System (DC CAS) scores for all FAY students. Number of FAY students tested for the most recent year. FAY determined by OSSE and validated by schools ES PMF proficiency rates are inclusive of grades 3 – 5 and sometimes 6 (refer to the section on eligibility) MS PMF proficiency rates are inclusive of grades 6 – 8 and sometimes 5 (refer to the section on eligibility)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ES/MS and HS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Achievemnt</td>
<td>State Assessment Proficiency rates in math and reading (ES/MS and HS)</td>
<td>% of students scoring Proficient or above on the state assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td>This indicator shows the percent of students who meet the minimum threshold set by the state for reading and math proficiency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Components, method, additional notes</td>
<td>Rationale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Student Achievement | State Assessment Advanced Proficiency Rates in math and reading (ES/MS and HS) | % of students scoring Advanced on the DC-CAS | **Method**<br>
\[
\frac{\text{Total # of FAY Math proficient students in that SY}}{\text{Total # FAY tested}} \times 100
\]
OR<br>
\[
\frac{\text{Total # of FAY Reading proficient students in that SY}}{\text{Total # FAY tested}} \times 100
\] | This indicator captures the percent of students who are exceeding the state’s minimum threshold and has been shown to be a strong indicator of college readiness. |
| Gateway | State Assessment Proficiency Rate in 3rd grade reading (ES/MS) | % of 3rd grade students scoring at least proficient on the state assessment in reading. | **Components**<br>
2014 DC-CAS scores for every 3rd grade FAY student<br>Number of 3rd grade FAY students<br>Total number of 3rd grade FAY students | Critical gateway year for childhood literacy |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Components, method, additional notes</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gateway</strong></td>
<td>State Assessment Proficiency Rate in 8th grade math (ES/MS)</td>
<td>% of 8th grade students scoring at least Proficient on DC-CAS math in the most recent year as per the validated file from OSSE.</td>
<td><strong>Components</strong>&lt;br&gt;2014 DC-CAS math scores for every FAY 8th grade student&lt;br&gt;Total number of 8th grade FAY students</td>
<td>Critical gateway year for adolescent number skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gateway</strong></td>
<td>College Readiness (HS)</td>
<td>Number of passing exams per year over the number of 12th grade students</td>
<td><strong>Components</strong>&lt;br&gt;# of passing Advanced Placement and International Baccalaureate exams in the current school year&lt;br&gt;○ Minimum passing requirement for AP is a 3 on the exam&lt;br&gt;○ Minimum passing requirement for IB is a 4 on the exam&lt;br&gt;12th grade enrollment as per end of year</td>
<td>All passing exams during the 2012-2013 school year contribute to the score, regardless of who takes the test&lt;br&gt;Expressing passing exams as a “per 100” score adjusts to reflect size of school and corresponds more closely to national reporting norms&lt;br&gt;Using full 12th grade class as denominator enables measure to track passing scores without creating incentives for schools to limit size of AP classes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Method**<br>\[
\frac{\text{Total # of FAY math proficient in the 8th grade}}{\text{Total # of FAY 8th graders tested}} \times 100
\]

**Additional notes**<br>- One student may contribute multiple passing exams and each passing score will count in the numerator. The student, if in 12th grade, will only count once the denominator.<br>- Passing scores from students in grades 9-11 contribute to numerator but not to the denominator, which is limited to all 12th grade students.<br>- Any HS with the 12th grade that does not offer either AP or IB exams is given 0 points on this metric.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Components, method, additional notes</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gateway</td>
<td>College Readiness (HS)</td>
<td>Number of students with passing scores in college courses as defined by dual enrollment programs</td>
<td>• # of students enrolled in a college-level course that qualifies as “dual enrollment” who receive a passing grade. (Exact grade and measure will be finalized by the Task Force in spring 2014)&lt;br&gt;• # of 12th grade enrollment as per the Enrollment Audit&lt;br&gt;• The percent of dually enrolled students will only be displayed on the 2013-14 scorecards and will not be included in the College Readiness score.&lt;br&gt;• This metric will not be given a point value in 2014 but will be displayed only.</td>
<td>A school should be given points for all students who earn college-level credits while attending high school, in addition to AP and IB. Dual enrollment is a cost-effective and proven method for students to earn college credits while in high school.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateway</td>
<td>Career and Technical Certification (CTE)</td>
<td>The metric has not yet been developed by the HS Task Force. Once a metric is developed, it will be published in the 2014 HS PMF but it will not have any value.</td>
<td></td>
<td>The PMF will be enhanced if it offers a method for capturing career readiness (in addition to college readiness). Therefore, a school should be given points for all students who earn CTE or IB career certificates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateway</td>
<td>4-Year Graduation rate (HS)</td>
<td>4-year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate conducted by OSSE and validated by schools.</td>
<td>Rate as provided by OSSE and validated by schools: <a href="http://osse.dc.gov/sites/default/files/dc/sites/osse/publication/attachments/Guidance_grad%20rate.pdf">http://osse.dc.gov/sites/default/files/dc/sites/osse/publication/attachments/Guidance_grad%20rate.pdf</a>&lt;br&gt;Regular Diploma (OSSE) excluded the following: (a) GED, (b) certificates of completion, (c) certificates of attendance, (d) or, any other diploma not fully aligned with the State’s academic records</td>
<td>Aligned with OSSE standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Components, method, additional notes</td>
<td>Rationale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateway</td>
<td>5-Year Graduation Rate (HS)</td>
<td>5-year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate conducted by OSSE and validated by schools.</td>
<td>The formula has not yet been established and released by OSSE. Once OSSE releases it, PCSB will incorporate it into the PMF. No points will be assigned for the 2014 PMF but the results will be displayed.</td>
<td>Many high schools have ninth graders who are far below grade level and take five years (or more) to earn a high school diploma. Schools should earn points for moving this population to graduation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateway</td>
<td>12th grade SAT scores (HS)</td>
<td>% of current 12th grade students scoring at least 800 on the SAT or 16 on the ACT.</td>
<td>Every SAT/ACT score for current 12th graders, including scores from tests taken in previous years (Note: Students often take SAT and ACT multiple times to improve their scores. PCSB will count the student as having met the Gateway measure if s/he earns an 800 on the SAT or 16 on the ACT at any time in his high school career, even if the score is earned while s/he attended a different high school). 2013-2014 12th grade enrollment, as per end of year any HS with the 12th graders who haven’t taken the SAT or ACT exams is given 0 points on this metric.</td>
<td>College Board research indicates that a score of at least 800 on the math, and verbal sections of the SAT predicts with high likelihood a GPA of at least 2.0 in freshman year at community college or university. For reference visit: [<a href="http://professionals.collegeboard.com/profdownload/pdf/R">http://professionals.collegeboard.com/profdownload/pdf/R</a> N-30.pdf](<a href="http://professionals.collegeboard.com/profdownload/pdf/R">http://professionals.collegeboard.com/profdownload/pdf/R</a> N-30.pdf) SAT-ACT concordance table: <a href="http://www.act.org/aap/concordance/">http://www.act.org/aap/concordance/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateway</td>
<td>11th grade PSAT scores (HS)</td>
<td>% of 11th grade students scoring at least 80 on the combined math and verbal portion of the PSAT</td>
<td>PSAT data for current 11th grade 2012-2013 11th grade enrollment, as per the end of school year Any HS with the 11th grade that doesn’t offer the PSAT exam is given 0 points on this metric. 9th and 10th grade PSAT scores are not included because they are standardized to a different scale</td>
<td>11th grade PSAT performance of at least 80 on the math and verbal sections is a predictor of SAT performance of at least 800 on the math and verbal sections.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateway</td>
<td>College</td>
<td>% of high school</td>
<td></td>
<td>College acceptance is a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Components, method, additional notes</td>
<td>Rationale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Acceptance (HS) | seniors accepted to a full-time college or university | Unique college acceptances – self reported, verified by PCSB acceptance letter review 2012-2013 12th grade enrollment, as per end of year | **Method**  
\[
\frac{\text{(# of 12th graders accepted to a full time college or university)}}{\text{(# of 12th graders)}} \times 100
\] | necessary step to college enrollment and has been defined to be an important measure of student success |
| Leading (ES/MS) | Attendance | In-seat attendance rate for 3rd to 8th grade. | **Components**  
Attendance by grade-span  
Aligns with District of Columbia Public Schools (DCPS) calculation.  
See eligibility exceptions. | In-seat attendance rates captures the percent of students who attend school each day and does not differentiate for students having an excused or unexcused absence. This allows schools full autonomy to create strong attendance policies. |
| Attendance (HS) | In-seat attendance rate for 9th to 12th grade | **Method**  
\[
\frac{\text{( # of days present )}}{\text{ (# days enrolled) }} \times 100
\] |  |  |
**Leading Reenrollment (ES/MS & HS)**

Re-enrollment rate for those eligible to return

Students ineligible to re-enroll include: (a) Students in the campus’s terminal grade who were promoted to the next grade; (b) students who moved out of DC; (c) students enrolled in an early childhood or adult education campus; (d) students expelled for a federally-recognized reason, such as bringing a firearm to school; (e) students placed into private placement from a DCPA-dependent LEA, as the student is removed from the school’s roster at that point and placed into DCPS; (f) Students that transfer within an LEA from a high school campus to their adult education campus; (g) Students that are deceased.

**Components**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous fall enrollment by student ID and grade (Audited Oct 5)</th>
<th>Current fall enrollment by student ID and grade (Audited Oct 5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Method**

Map students in previous fall and current fall to determine overlap.

Determine which students in previous fall are ineligible to re-enroll (those in their final year; moved out from DC).

\[
\frac{\text{(Total # of Students attending both previous Fall and current fall)}}{\text{(# of students in previous Fall)} - \text{(# of students ineligible to enroll)}} \times 100
\]

For all students deemed ineligible to re-enroll, PCSB will verify their ineligibility using the following processes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for Ineligibility to Re-Enroll</th>
<th>Ineligibility Verification Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Students in the campus’s terminal grade who were promoted to the next grade</td>
<td>PCSB will use enrollment data to verify the student’s grade in both school years. LEAs do not have to submit any documentation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students who moved out of DC</td>
<td>PCSB will verify that the student is not enrolled in another DC school. If necessary, LEA’s will submit a parent/guardian letter or withdrawal form stating the family’s intent to move away from DC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students enrolled in an adult education campus</td>
<td>PCSB will use enrollment data to verify the student’s grade. LEAs do not have to submit any documentation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students expelled for a federally-recognized reason, such as bringing a firearm to school</td>
<td>PCSB will use discipline data to verify students’ expulsion records. LEAs do not have to submit any documentation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students placed into private placement from a DCPA-dependent LEA, as the student is removed from the school’s roster at that point and placed into DCPS.</td>
<td>PCSB will verify that the LEA is a dependent charter and that the student was transferred into a private placement. LEAs do not have to submit any documentation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students that transfer within an LEA from a high school campus to their adult education campus.</td>
<td>PCSB will verify that the student is enrolled at the adult education campus within the LEA. LEAs do not have to submit any documentation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Leading 9th grade credits**

Percent of 9th grade students receiving

**Components**

Review of all 9th grade transcripts – on-site review

**Used to assess student and parent satisfaction**

**An early indicator for high school completion**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(HS)</th>
<th>enough credits to be on track to meet OSSE graduation requirements in 4 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Method**

\[
\text{Method} = \left( \frac{\# \text{ of 9th grade students receiving enough credits to be on track to meet LEA graduation requirements in 4 years}}{\text{Total} \# \text{ of 9th grade students}} \right) \times 100
\]

OSSE requirements: Students must pass 6 credits or the equivalent, consisting of Algebra I, an English course, a Science course, a Social Studies course that is among World History, US History, US Government or DC History, and two additional elective courses.

LEA requirements vary by LEA.

is having enough credits at the end of 9th grade to graduate within four years. Schools are responsible for making sure that their 9th graders are given the opportunity and support to receive these credits.
C. Glossary of Measures
Listed below are brief descriptions of some of the common academic scoring measures PCSB uses to track charter schools’ progress in meeting their goals and academic achievement expectations.

**Student Growth Measures**

**Student Progress**
Appears for 3rd -5th grades, 6th -8th grades, and High Schools - Median growth percentiles for mathematics and reading, a growth measure based on individual student DC-CAS performance.

**Student Achievement Measures**

**DC-CAS Proficient & Advanced**
Appears for 3rd -5th grades, 6th -8th grades, and High Schools - Percent of students scoring at least Proficient and those scoring Advanced on the DC-CAS for math and reading; both Proficient and Advanced measures are included for all grades in which DC-CAS testing occurs.

**Gateway Measures**

**DC-CAS 3rd grade reading proficiency**
Appears for 3rd -5th grades - Percent of students scoring at least proficient in third grade reading on the DC-CAS

**DC-CAS 8th grade math proficiency**
Appears for 6th -8th grades - Percent of students scoring at least proficient in eighth grade mathematics on the state assessment

**Graduation rate**
Appears for High Schools - Graduation rate is a data point that PCSB receives from OSSE. It measures the number of 9th graders that graduate from the particular high school in four years. It is called the Four-Year Adjust Cohort Graduation Rate.

**PSAT 11th grade performance**
Appears for High Schools - Percentage of 11th grade students scoring at a level on-track for college readiness in the combined mathematics and verbal sections of the PSAT, defined to be a score of 80 or higher.

**SAT/ACT 12th grade performance**
Appears for High Schools - Percentage of 12th grade students scoring at a level on-track for college readiness on the combined math, writing and verbal sections of the SAT, defined to be a combined score of 800 or higher or 16 on the ACT.

**College Acceptance**
Appears for High Schools - Percentage of seniors accepted to a college or university.

**College Readiness**
Appears for High Schools - Ratio of number of passing scores (Advanced Placement score of 3 or higher and International Baccalaureate score of 4 or higher) for the entire population to number of seniors, written as a percentage.

---
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Leading Indicators

In-Seat Attendance
Appears for 3rd -5th grades, 6th -8th grades, and High Schools - Ratio for the entire population, written as a percentage of days present to days enrolled.

Re-enrollment
Appears for 3rd -5th grades, 6th -8th grades, and High Schools - Percentage of those eligible students who re-enroll.

9th grade credits
Appears for High Schools - Percentage of 9th grade students on-track to graduate in 4 years, based on OSSE guidelines for completed Carnegie Units and required courses and each school’s graduation policy.
Part III: EARLY CHILDHOOD SCHOOLS (Under Development)
PCSB will finalize this section of the technical guide no later than January 2014.

Part IV: ADULT EDUCATION SCHOOLS (Under Development)
PCSB will finalize this section of the technical guide no later than January 2014.

Part V: FRAMEWORKS FOR ALTERNATIVE ACCOUNTABILITY, SPECIAL EDUCATION

Alternative Accountability Schools
PCSB recognizes two charter schools that serve a markedly different population from other K – 12 schools. These schools are measured using the same methodology as the frameworks they are aligned with, but they do not receive a PMF rank. PCSB is working with the Office of the State Superintendent of Education (OSSE) and the Alternative Schools task force on a definition of such alternative schools for accountability purposes.

Special Education Schools
PCSB recognizes one charter school as a stand-alone special education entity as 100% of the school’s population is identified with significant physical and cognitive disabilities. All eligible students taking the state-wide assessment at this school are administered the DC CAS Alternative Assessment Portfolio to measure their academic and developmental progress and performance. This school uses their accountability plan, which was developed in concert with PCSB staff and the school’s leadership and approved by PCSB’s board of trustees. Each school’s Accountability Plan was developed in concert with PCSB staff and the school’s leadership and approved by PCSB’s board of trustees. Schools are provided guidance on the minimum and maximum number of targets to include in the Accountability Plan as well as criteria in the identification of assessments and measures to determine performance.

Accountability Plan Guidance
Schools must choose at least 5 targets (ideally no more than 9) from the Academic Indicators. Should a school choose to include more than 9 targets, the total number of targets must be odd.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STUDENT PROGRESS</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Min/Max Targets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No minimum/ No maximum</td>
<td>• Can be curriculum based or standardized; PCSB preference is measures be standardized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Measures are chosen from what schools already have in place from the 2010-2011 school year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Targets must assess a cross section of students in programs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Min/Max Targets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No minimum/ No maximum</td>
<td>• Can be curriculum based or standardized; PCSB preference is measures be standardized measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Measures are chosen from what schools already have in place from the 2010-2011 school year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Targets must assess a cross section of students in programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GATEWAY</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Min/Max Targets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No minimum/ No maximum</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEADING INDICATORS</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Min/Max Targets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No minimum/ No maximum</td>
<td>Re-enrollment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attendance:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Optional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>