

The Honorable David Grosso Chairman, DC Council Committee on Education 1350 Pennsylvania Ave NW, Suite 402 Washington, DC 20004

DC Public Charter School Board Report on the Use of Per-Pupil At-Risk Funds in DC Public Charter Schools

Pursuant to the requirement in the FY16 Budget Support Act, the DC Public Charter School Board (PCSB) submits the following report on "the distribution of at-risk funds to each local education agency ("LEA")...which should include at a minimum the allocation to each LEA, a specific breakdown on how that money was spent, including a description of what programs, initiatives, and the enrichment activities it supported".

To fulfill the requirements of this report, PCSB issued a survey to all public charter LEA's serving grades pre-kindergarten (PK) through 12. The survey was not issued to adult education and alternative LEA's, as these LEA's are not eligible for at-risk funds. In total 27 of the 55 LEA's with PK-12 programs responded to the survey, a response rate of 49%.

Before examining the results of the survey it is important to note that the School Reform Act provides each LEA with exclusive control over its "expenditures, administration, personnel, and instructional methods"¹. PCSB conducts extensive fiscal oversight over each LEA. However, this oversight is not for the purposes of monitoring or controlling individual expenditures, but rather to ensure that each school has adequate finances for operation and to prevent fiscal mismanagement. PCSB also tracks school expenditures according to broad categories (such as personnel and facilities) to provide comparative information that allows other charter schools to benchmark their expenditures against their peers. PCSB does not oversee the expenditures of at-risk funds. Because at-risk funds are spent on a variety of items, such as personnel, supplies, and contracted services, reliable tracking of at-risk fund expenditures would require schools to engage in burdensome fund accounting.

In addition, PCSB is not responsible for the distribution of at-risk funds to LEA's. This distribution is conducted by the Office of the Chief Financial Officer (OCFO) according to the audited enrollment provided by the Office of the State

_

¹ D.C. Code 38-1802.04(c)(3)(A)

Superintendent (OSSE). The information on the allocation to each school provided below was given to PCSB by the OCFO.

DC Public Charter Schools								
		FY15 At Risk Fur			ool			
	FY15 At Risk	11257611311141		5 · c. occ	FY15			
	Student		F	15 At Risk	Additional At	To	otal At Risk	
	Enrollment	School Name		Funding	Risk Funding		Funding	
1	319	ACHIEVEMENT PREP	\$	663,201		\$	663,201	
2	262	APPLETREE		544,698	71,339	\$	616,037	
3	276	CAPITAL CITY		573,804		\$	573,804	
4	537	DC PREPARATORY		1,116,423		\$	1,116,423	
5	425	EXCEL ACADEMY		883,575		\$	883,575	
6	90	HOWARD UNIVERSITY		187,110		\$	187,110	
7	198	NATIONAL COLLEGIATE		411,642		\$	411,642	
8	259	POTOMAC		538,461		\$	538,461	
9	222	RICHARD WRIGHT		461,538		\$	461,538	
10	112	ST. COLLETA		232,848	257,639	\$	490,487	
11	0	ACADEMY OF HOPE		-		\$	-	
12	69	BASIS		143,451		\$	143,451	
13	92	BRIDGES		191,268		\$	191,268	
14	16	BRIYA-ESF		33,264		\$	33,264	
15	0	CARLOS ROSARIO		-		\$	120	
16	170	CEDAR TREE		353,430		\$	353,430	
17	668	CENTER CITY		1,388,772		\$	1,388,772	
18	737	CESAR CHAVEZ		1,532,223		\$	1,532,223	
19	688	COMMUNITY ACADEMY		1,430,352		\$	1,430,352	
20	0	COMMUNITYCOLLEGE PREP		-		\$	-	
21		CREATIVE MINDS		47,817	132,936	\$	180,753	
22		DC BILINGUAL		307,692		\$	307,692	
23		DC INTERNATIONAL		91,476		\$	91,476	
24		DC SCHOLARS		565,488		\$	565,488	
25		DEMOCRACY PREP		604,989		\$	604,989	
26		E L HAYNES		837,837	communication and approximation	\$	837,837	
27		EAGLE ACADEMY		960,498	102,218	\$	1,062,716	
28		EARLY CHILDHOOD		322,245		\$	322,245	
29		ELSIE WITHLOW STOKES		162,162	307,479	\$	469,641	
30		FRIENDSHIP		4,819,122		\$	4,819,122	
31		HARMONY		139,293		\$	139,293	
32		HOPE COMMUNITY		654,885	323,930	\$	978,815	
33		IDEA - INTEGRATED DESIGN		251,559		\$	251,559	
34		IDEAL ACADEMY		273,349		\$	273,349	
35		INGENUITY		295,218	18,444	\$	313,662	
36		INSPIRED TEACHING		79,002		\$	79,002	
37		KIPP ACADEMY		4,719,330		\$	4,719,330	
38		LATIN AMER YOUTH-LAMB		83,160	26,893	\$	110,053	
39		LAYC - YOUTH BUILD		-		\$	-	
40		LAYC CAREER ACADEMY		46 74 -		\$	-	
41		LEE MONTESSORI		18,711		\$	18,711	
42		MARY MCLEOD BETHUNE		461,538		\$	461,538	
43	0	MAYA ANGELOU		-		\$	=	

44	260 MERIDIAN PC	540,540		\$	540,540
45	64 MUNDO VERDE	133,056	185,073	\$	318,129
46	O NEXT STEP PCS	-		\$	-
47	208 OPTIONS PC	432,432		\$	432,432
48	306 PAUL	636,174		\$	636,174
49	445 PERRY STREET	925,155		\$	925,155
50	44 ROOTS PC	91,476	36,937	\$	128,413
51	161 SEED	334,719		\$	334,719
52	45 SELA	93,555		\$	93,555
53	12 SHINING STARS	24,948		\$	24,948
54	165 SOMERSET	343,035		\$	343,035
55	186 THURGOOD MARSHALL	386,694		\$	386,694
56	184 TREE OF LIFE	382,536		\$	382,536
57	117 TWO RIVERS	243,243		\$	243,243
58	61 WASHINGTON LATIN	126,819		\$	126,819
59	171 WASHINGTON MATH	355,509		\$	355,509
60	30 WASHINGTON YU YIN	62,370	203,247	\$	265,617
61	191 WILLIAM DOAR	397,089		\$	397,089
	15,339	\$ 31,890,781	1,666,135	\$ 3	33,556,916

Based on the self-reported information we collected through the surveys, LEA's spent the at-risk allocations in widely various ways. Below you can find a table summarizing their use. We have also provided the full list of responses in Appendix A. Many schools used their at-risk funds for multiple purposes, but the vast majority of these funds were used for various enrichment activities, including before- and after-school activities, academic interventions, social workers and behavioral specialists and summer school programming.

Category	Number of Schools	Percentage
Enrichment Activities	12	44%
(including Before and After		
School)		
Academic Interventions	10	37%
Social Workers/Behavior	10	37%
Specialists		
Summer School	8	30%
School Supplies/Uniforms for	4	19%
At-Risk Students		
Additional Teacher	2	7%
Dedicated Staff member for	2	7%
At-Risk and Low-performing		
students		
Extended Day/School Year	2	7%
Language Immersion	1	4%
Program		
Nutrition Programs	1	4%
SAT Workshops	1	4%

PCSB's survey also asked LEA's questions about their summer school programming., since the at-risk funds replaced a specific per-pupil allocation for summer school. This change has been cause for concern among some policymakers and community members. 18 of the 27 LEA's that responded to the survey provided summer school programming in the summer of 2015. Of the 18 schools that did provide summer school program in 2015, 14 provided the program free of charge, while two used a sliding scale for tuition, one charged a \$40 registration fee, and one school offered free to some grades, but charged a fee to others. This school, Eagle Academy PCS, attributed their need to charge a fee to the change from summer school funding to at-risk funding. Another school continued to offer summer school programming, but had to offer a shorter program for the same reason.

Of the nine schools that did not provide summer school programming, four were new schools opening in SY 15-16, another was under renovations that prevented the school from providing such programming, and another, KIPP DC, moved from having a dedicated summer school program to an extended school year model.

In their responses a few schools also offered critiques of the at-risk funding program. Two schools expressed concern about the elimination of per-pupil funding for summer school. Another LEA believed that the eligibility requirements for the at-risk funding were not comprehensive, and should include WIC eligibility. Finally, St. Coletta PCS, which serves primarily Level 3 and 4 students with special needs reported that their funding was actually reduced with the shift to at-risk funding.

I hope you find this information helpful. For additional questions about this report, please contact PCSB Communications Director, Tomeika Bowden, at tbowden@dcpcsb.org.

Sincerely yours,

Scott Pearson Executive Director

Name:	Position:	LEA Name:	Please include a description of how your LEA has spent or plans to spend per pupil at-risk funds for SY15-16, particularly any programs, initiatives or enrichment activities the at-risk funds have supported or will support.	Did your school offer summer school programming in 2015?	If your school did offer summer school programming in 2015, was there a cost to families for the program?	If your school did not offer free summer school programming in 2015, was this a change from previous years?
Tony Taylor	District Ops. and Compliance Manager	AppleTree PCS	Our at-risk funds was allocated to the summer school program.	Yes	AppleTree has always charged a field-trip fee - using a sliding scale based on income - to all families. The maximum field-trip is designed to cover the additional cost of transporting students from the LEA to the field-trip location, and the maximum fee does not exceed \$100. This fee is often waived for many of our families.	NA
	Head of	Washington Latin	We are hiring an additional staff member whose sole job it will			Our summer school has
Martha Cutts	School	PCS		Yes	No	always been free.
Allan Arbogast	Chief Operating Officer	The Children's Guild	Funds to support at-risk students will be used in three domains: student support through the use of clinicians and social workers, academic interventions, and enrichment activities through an extra period in the day.	No	We did not offer summer school.	We actually don't start as a new charter until September 2015.
Cristine Doran	Director of Finance and Facilities	Center City PCS	Center City PCS will continue to use at-risk funding to support intervention work, improving/strengthening the quality of instruction in our schools, and out of school time programming as funds allow.	Yes	Center City PCS did not charge fees for academic programming associated with the 2015 summer program.	

				Did your		
				school offer		If your school did not
			Please include a description of how your LEA has spent or	summer		offer free summer school
			plans to spend per pupil at-risk funds for SY15-16, particularly	school	If your school did offer summer school	programming in 2015,
			any programs, initiatives or enrichment activities the at-risk			was this a change from
Name:	Position:	LEA Name:	funds have supported or will support.	in 2015?	to families for the program?	previous years?
			At Eagle Academy PCS we have found that a large percentage		The second secon	,
			of our students are at-risk of academic failure given the number			
			of strong predictors present in the population we serve. Our			
			definition of at-risk includes students who are homeless, in the			
			District's foster care system, and qualify for TANF or SNAP. It			
			also includes six sets of variables: (1) basic demographic			
			characteristics; (2) family and personal background			
			characteristics; (3) the amount of parental involvement in		The fact that the cost for summer	
			student's education; (4) the student's academic history; (5)		school was assumed in the funding	
			student's education, (4) the student's academic history, (5) student behavioral factors; and (6) the intensity of identified		generated by the at-risk weight (thus	
			disabilities.		eliminating the UPSFF weight for	
			uisabilities.			
			Civan the large negentage of students at risk of seedomic		summer school) forced Eagle Academy to reduce the size and scope of its	
			Given the large percentage of students at-risk of academic			
			failure that we serve, every year Eagle Academy must put in		summer program this year. All	
			place a comprehensive support system that includes		students, but particularly those who are	
			interventionists, reading specialists, special education staff,		at-risk of academic failure, were	
			speech therapists, occupational/physical therapists,		impacted by these reductions in	
			psychologists, play therapist, counselors, social workers, and		services. Eagle Academy fully	
			nurses, among others. It also requires additional supplemental,		supports the at-risk funding and	
			targeted materials and curricula, as well as intentional		strongly encourages the DC Council to	
			equipment and manipulatives.		re-instate the UPSFF weight for	
					summer school.	
			The fact that the cost for summer school was assumed in the			
			funding generated by the at-risk weight (thus eliminating the		We were able to offer free summer	
			UPSFF weight for summer school) forced Eagle Academy to		school to incoming third graders at the	
			reduce the size and scope of its summer program this year. All		Congress Heights campus, and to	
			students, but particularly those who are at-risk of academic		incoming second and third graders at	
		Eagle Academy	failure, were impacted by these reductions in services. Eagle		the Capitol Riverfront campus. All	
Mayra Martinez-		Public Charter	Academy fully supports the at-risk funding and strongly		others were asked to pay \$500.00 for	
Fernandez	Deputy COO	School	encourages the DC Council to re-instate the UPSFF weight for	Yes	the 5 weeks.	Not Applicable
						While Thurgood
			Thurgood Marshall Academy provides a variety of programs			Marshall Academy
			that support at-risk students not found in many high schools;			offered summer school,
			these are funded in part by DC at-risk funding. Examples			funding changes
			include summer school, after-school programs coordinated by			prompted a reduction of
			school employees to integrate them with the school day,		Thurgood Marshall Academy did not	course offering 19%
David		Thurgood Marshall	remediation courses, and intensive college-and-career activities		charge tuition or fees for summer	reduction in course
Schlossman	COO	Academy	ranging from law-related workshops to SAT prep courses.	Yes	school.	offerings (13 down from

				Did your		
				school offer		If your school did not
			Please include a description of how your LEA has spent or	summer		offer free summer school
			plans to spend per pupil at-risk funds for SY15-16, particularly	school	If your school did offer summer school	programming in 2015,
Nicona	Destitions	I E A Nieuway	any programs, initiatives or enrichment activities the at-risk			was this a change from
Name:	Position:	LEA Name:	funds have supported or will support.	in 2015?	to families for the program?	previous years?
			Washington Global PCS will use its at-risk funds to provide the			Yes, we will offer free
			following services to its students:			summer school
			Intervention programs in the group of mothematics and			programming in SY 2015-
			- Intervention programs in the areas of mathematics and reading:			2016 (summer of 2016) for students. We are a
			- Social work services provided by an on-site social worker;			new LEA, so we did not
			- School supplies (materials) for at-risk youth;			have the opportunity to
Elizabeth		Washington	- Transportation (metro) for at risk-youth when needed;		We were not open in the Summer of	offer summer school in
Torres	Director	Global PCS	-Socio-emotional curriculum;	No	2015. Thanks!	2015.
101163	Director	Global i Co	-30clo-emotional curriculum,	INO	2013. Illanks:	Yes. In past years,
						KIPP DC offered
			KIPP DC provides strong academic and social support to at-risk			summer school during
			students. At-risk funding may go to support the following			July. This year, we
			programs:			moved to an extended
			- Extended school day			school year calendar
			- Extended school year (school begins August 10th in 2015 in			with a summer session
			all schools except KIPP College Preparatory which operates			in the month of August
			under a different schedule)			with a short term break
			- Dedicate social worker for each school			(less than a week)
	Director of		- Extracurricular opportunities focused on academic and social			before beginning the fall
Katie Pittman	Accountability	KIPP DC	development	No	No payment required.	semester. The program
			Approximately 45% of DC Prep's student-body is considered			
			"at-risk." Our academic model, with its rigorous academic			
			academics and character education, is designed to meet the			
			needs of these students. With the increased funding for at-risk			
			students, DC Prep added teaching staff positions and			
			supplemental resources to provide better-targeted 1:1			
			interactions between students and staff. One aspect of DC			
			Prep's academic model is to provide intervention resources to			
			students in a small group setting; additional staff allows for			
	Director of		smaller groups and targeted intervention. The at-risk funding			
	Finance and	D.C. Preparatory	was also used to fund DC Prep's summer school program for		There was no cost to families for the	
Ryan Aurori	Real Estate	Academy	students in need of increased academic support and targeted	Yes	program.	N/A

Name:	Position:	LEA Name:	Please include a description of how your LEA has spent or plans to spend per pupil at-risk funds for SY15-16, particularly any programs, initiatives or enrichment activities the at-risk funds have supported or will support.	Did your school offer summer school programming in 2015?	If your school did offer summer school programming in 2015, was there a cost to families for the program?	
			At Somerset our Community Liaison served as the primary service provider for our at risk population. We made a conscience effort to support those families in need with both traditional and non-traditional school community needs such as, purchasing school supplies for our displaced families that included book bags, notebooks, pencils, paper and organizers. We provided families with needed winter coats for attending and non-attending siblings, we provided transportation as needed for school and or critical community based resource needs.			
	050		As a servant of this community we are especially proud of being able to appropriate monetary and human resources for the purpose of hosting 2 full community day activities. This	v	_	There was no change to the programming from
Don Parker	SEC	Somerset Prep DC		Yes		

			Please include a description of how your LEA has spent or plans to spend per pupil at-risk funds for SY15-16, particularly	Did your school offer summer school		If your school did not offer free summer school programming in 2015,
Name:	Position:	LEA Name:	any programs, initiatives or enrichment activities the at-risk funds have supported or will support.	programming in 2015?	programming in 2015, was there a cost to families for the program?	was this a change from previous years?
			Due to the limited way at-risk is defined now, we received less money this year than in the past. While 100% of our PK students are ELL and 100% are eligible for FARM, less than half (45%) of our students were determined to be "at-risk". Those families who are the most vulnerable and unable to enroll in TANF or SNAP are not supported by "at-risk" dollars at school. This is counter to the intention of the at-risk funding to provide additional services to disconnected families.			
			A potential solution is to include those families eligible for WIC in the list of criteria. WIC is much more widely used than SNAP or TANF by our families. Many of our families decline to apply for TANF or SNAP, despite being eligible, because they are working toward citizenship. Often, families believe enrolling in these programs can impact their likelihood of attaining citizenship.			
			WIC eligibility aligns with eligibility for SNAP and TANF and is a good way to reach students at risk of academic failure who are in need of additional supports. Below, please see the comparison of program income eligibility guidelines:			
			Free/Reduced Lunch: 130-185% of Federal Poverty Level (FPL) SNAP: 130-200% of FPL TANF: children ages 1-5 133% of FPL WIC: 185% of FPL		no cost to families summer school was shorter this	
Cara Sklar		Briya PCS		Yes	summer due to decrease in funding	n/a
	Business	IDEAL ACADEMY	The funds will be used to support a Foreign Language Immersion Program, social worker, afterschool program, and		No cost to families to attend our	
Zuella Evans	Manager	PCS	reading/math resource teachers.	Yes	summer school program.	N/A

Name:	Position:	LEA Name:	Please include a description of how your LEA has spent or plans to spend per pupil at-risk funds for SY15-16, particularly any programs, initiatives or enrichment activities the at-risk funds have supported or will support.	Did your school offer summer school programming in 2015?	If your school did offer summer school programming in 2015, was there a cost to families for the program?	If your school did not offer free summer school programming in 2015, was this a change from previous years?
Melissa Winters	Registrar		Extended school day Academic Collaboration Team (ACT) Saturday School - additional tutoring Summer School Uniform assistance School Supply Assistance Bus/metro tokens	Yes	Families did not pay for summer school.	We were not in existence.
Tiffany Johnson		DC Scholars	We have hired an RTI Behavioral Coach to support student behaviors and to increase support to at-risk students.	No	N/A	Yes. Our school was under renovations, which is the only reason we did not offer a program this year. We did refer our students to other summer programs and pay the registration fee for those programs for a certain number of targeted students who could benefit from
Wendy	Executive Director	Early Childhood Academy Public	ECA offered a six-week summer school program for students in grades pk3 through grade 3 as an extension of grade level learning, and specifically to reinforce		No, the ECA summer school program, from 9 am to 1:00 pm, was free for all ECA students.	ECA offered free summer school for all students during the summers of 2013, 2014,

Name:	Position:	LEA Name:	Please include a description of how your LEA has spent or plans to spend per pupil at-risk funds for SY15-16, particularly any programs, initiatives or enrichment activities the at-risk funds have supported or will support.	Did your school offer summer school programming in 2015?	If your school did offer summer school programming in 2015, was there a cost to families for the program?	If your school did not offer free summer school programming in 2015, was this a change from previous years?
			Harmony DC PCS plans to allocate per pupil at-risk funds for			
			SY15-16 for its after-school programs and Saturday schools.			
			Those programs are essential for students' success. Identified			
			low achieving/struggling students are required to receive			
			additional tutorials in small group in all grade levels. The main			
			goal of the program is the academic improvement that yields			
			positive outcomes in reducing dropout rates. Tutoring is offered			
			to students after school and on Saturdays.			
			Additionally, Harmony DC PCS has been partnered with			
			Reading Partners to provide weekly one-on-one literacy			
			tutoring to at-risk students using its research-based curriculum,			
			a series of structured lesson plans that progress from phonics			
			instructions for younger students to higher order			
Emin			comprehension strategies for older students. Some parts of per			
Cavusoglu	Principal	Harmony DC PCS	pupil at-risk funds for SY15-16 has been allocated to fund	No	N/A.	N/A.
			Behavioral support staff, space build-out to procide less			
			distractions and smaller group instruction, mentor/mentee,			
			Positive Action (research-based social-emotional curriculum),			
			Trauma Systems Therapy training for staff and weekly team			
Marlene		Monument	meetings through partnership with NYU, partnership with			
Magrino	Principal	Academy	Georgetown Adolescent Psychiatry	No	N/A - We just opened.	N/A - We just opened.
			Our LEA invested these funds in a six week summer school			
			program that served 207 students, 31% of students at			
			Washington Latin Public Charter School at no cost. The			
			summer program offered seventeen courses, from which ten			
	D: (f		were credit-bearing. The courses ranged from English and			
Geovanna	Director of		Math for all levels, History, Government and health as well as	V	No contra forestina	1,1/4
Izurieta	Finance	School	Creative Writing, Computer Programming, SAT Prep and The majority of the at-risk funding for our school contributes to	Yes	No cost to families.	N/A
Eric Stultz		Prep PCHS	our student support staff and programs.	Yes	No	N/A
LIIC GIUILZ		i ieh i Oilo	jour stadent support stan and programs.	163		Last year was our first
			DC International School offers afterschool clubs and activities			year opening, so there
	Dir. Business	DC International	at a greatly reduced rate for families who qualify as at risk. DCI			was no previous years to
Denise Lyons	& Compliance		1	No	n/a	change from.
	z. 30p.i.a100		1 and the programme of the programme		1	

Name:	Position:	LEA Name:	Please include a description of how your LEA has spent or plans to spend per pupil at-risk funds for SY15-16, particularly any programs, initiatives or enrichment activities the at-risk	Did your school offer summer school programming in 2015?	If your school did offer summer school programming in 2015, was there a cost to families for the program?	If your school did not offer free summer school programming in 2015, was this a change from previous years?
			At SSMA any and all funds determined to have been allocated as at risk are directed specifically to support those children			
		Shining Stars	requiring additional resources to fully participate in school			
Regina	Executive	Montessori	activities (I.e, field trips, after care and or extended learning			
Rodriguez	Director	Academy		No	N/A	No
			Key activities include:			
Chris	Head of	Lee Montessori	*- Hiring a Family Coordinator whose main responsibility is to work with at-risk families to ensure coordination of in- and out-of-school services, improve attendance, and provide in-school supports for children. *- Structuring before/after care services so that at-risk children can attend for free.		Summer programs were provided by an	Not applicable. 2014-15 was our first year of
Pencikowski	School	PCS	*- Providing counseling services for at-risk children and families	Yes	outside group at our school.	operations.
	Co-Founder &		Given that our At-Risk percentage is very high (74%) our At-		<u> </u>	No, though we are
	Managing		Risk funds generally went to support schoolwide programming.		We did not offer summer school	looking at doing summer
	Director of		This school year, we were able to bring a full-time school		programming in 2015 due to	school programming in
Will Steotzer	Business and	Ingenuity Prep	psychologist and speech-language pathologist as a result of	No	construction on our facility.	2016.

Name:	Position:	LEA Name:	Please include a description of how your LEA has spent or plans to spend per pupil at-risk funds for SY15-16, particularly any programs, initiatives or enrichment activities the at-risk funds have supported or will support	Did your school offer summer school programming in 2015?	If your school did offer summer school programming in 2015, was there a cost to families for the program?	If your school did not offer free summer school programming in 2015, was this a change from previous years?
ranio.	i conton.	LL/ (Name.	funds have supported or will support.	11 2010:	to lamines for the program:	providuo yearo:
			designed to provide educationally valuable and engaging opportunities for all BASIS DC students. The school building is open from 7:00 AM to 6:00 PM offering the following programs:			
			Early Bird - Before school supervised space for students to complete homework and socialize.			
			Late Bird - After school supervised space for students to complete homework, socialize, participate in structured activities, and seek help with homework. As an after care program, Late Bird comes at a cost to parents, but students qualifying for free and reduced meals, or who are on our Direct Certification list, automatically qualify for free Late Bird participation.			
			Student Hours - Students are able to meet with their at least one of their teachers every day after school. These hours enable students to seek additional academic support, and ask questions they would not be able to during a class period.			
			Extra-Curricular Programs - BASIS DC offers year round extra curricular programming for all students. Some of these clubs and activities cost money to families, but students qualifying for free or reduced meals or who are on our direct certification list are able to participate free of charge,		,,,g,	BASIS DC offered
			In edition to our before and after school programs, BASIS DC		This program was at cost to families, but students who qualified for free and	summer programming for SY13, SY14, SH15.
			offers students a comprehensive academic support program			Each year, programs
			aimed to identify students who may be struggling academically		or who were on our direct certification	were available for free
Charles	Head of		and providing them with additional support to get them on level.			for students who
Hutchison	Operations	BASIS DC	This program pair struggling students with staff members, who	Yes	for free or reduced meals.	qualified.

Name:	Position:	LEA Name:	Please include a description of how your LEA has spent or plans to spend per pupil at-risk funds for SY15-16, particularly any programs, initiatives or enrichment activities the at-risk funds have supported or will support.	Did your school offer summer school programming in 2015?	If your school did offer summer school programming in 2015, was there a cost to families for the program?	If your school did not offer free summer school programming in 2015, was this a change from previous years?
Deborah		Excel Academy Public Charter	Excel Academy receives NCLB funding to ensure that all children have a fair, equal, and significant opportunity to obtain a highly-quality education and reach, at a minimum, proficiency on challenging State academic achievement standards and state academic assessments. Excel will continue to focus its strategies on improving Math, particularly with our Special		There was only a minimal \$40 registration fee for the five-week summer school program in which families were responsible for paying at the time of registration. There was no	Our school did offer free summer school programming. Question
Lockhart	CEO	School	Education as the subgroup most in need of targeted	Yes	cost for the actual program.	Not Applicable.
	Business	Hope Community	Hope Community Charter has a robust program that addresses many of the needs of our at-risk population. We offer academic supports and interventions through group and one-on-one settings depending on the needs of the student. In addition, we offer uniforms, school trip, schools supplies and any other financial assistance to students with needs recognizing that many of these items are important to student success. In acknowledgment that the meals that students have at school maybe their best and only meal of the day, we ensure that each student has a healthy, nutritious and delicious meal during the course of their day through our School Breakfast and Lunch Program. We also have a host of after school activities which allow the student to hone in on other interest and hobbies that assist in making them a better-rounded individual in addition to creating avenues for constructive activity during their unoccupied times of the day. We offer different types of art, science and sports activities through this program. Hope		Our schools offer a free half day summer school program for our students. To supplement the day one of our campuses offered a half day paid summer camp summer program also. The program was \$450 per child for a	
Khafi McDowell		Charter School	Community Charter also offers a half day six week summer	Yes	four week program.	N/A

Name:	Position:	LEA Name:	Please include a description of how your LEA has spent or plans to spend per pupil at-risk funds for SY15-16, particularly any programs, initiatives or enrichment activities the at-risk funds have supported or will support.	Did your school offer summer school programming in 2015?	If your school did offer summer school programming in 2015, was there a cost to families for the program?	If your school did not offer free summer school programming in 2015, was this a change from previous years?
						Our "summer program" is actually part of our ESY as determined on the student IEP and designed to help our population retain skills
			Our school serves students with intellectual and multiple disabilities who are at risk of losing skills attained during the school year with a traditional summer break. Instead, our school offers an ESY providing programming through the months of June and July. We used to be funded from the "summer school" element of the formula, which was eliminated			they have attained during the school year. We have always offered this programming, but have lost funding for it as a result of the
K Rowland	CFO		to create the "at risk" element. Unfortunately, the definition of "at risk" students does not include students with significant disabilities. Therefore, we now receive LESS funding than previously for our services. All "at risk" funding is used to support our students during summer programming.	Yes	no	changes in the categories/elements of the per student formula (the addition of an "at risk" category).