


Adult Education PMF Task Force Summary of the March Meeting Feedback

Overall Feedback

- › All eight schools responded



Questions on the proposed metric and business rules for Obtained a Secondary Credential?

Feedback:

- › Two schools had no objection or no feedback
- › Some students who score "likely to pass" never sit for the actual GED
- › Students with extenuating circumstances should not be included
- › This measure should align to the passing score of 150 since DC has not moved to a passing score 145
- › If the "likely to pass" score is adjusted by GEDTS, then it should be adjusted in the AE PMF

Outcome:

- › DC PCSB's updated proposal will take into account extenuating circumstances that may not allow someone to sit for the GED test
 - › More discussion on this today
- › The "likely to pass" and passing GED scores would always align with the GEDTS and the passing score in DC, which is now 145
 - › GEDTS shared that they have no plans to make adjustments for the next 6-7 years

Questions on the proposed business rules to update the floor and target in 2017-18 for Obtained a Secondary Credential?

Feedback:


- › Five schools had no objection or no feedback

- › Align the “likely to pass” score and the passing score to 150 if DC remains at 150
- › Willing to consider this proposal but would like to see as much data as possible
- › Begin the three years of data later

Outcome:

- › DC PCSB will move forward with this proposal

My LEA would like to add the GED Subject Test Achievement measure



Outcome:

- › DC PCSB will move forward with this proposal

Questions or comments on the proposed metric and business rules for the GED Subject Test Achievement measure

Feedback:

- › Some students who score “likely to pass” never sit for the actual GED
- › Students with extenuating circumstances should not be included
- › This measure should align to the passing score of 150 since DC has not moved to a passing score 145
- › GEDTS has retroactively changed the likely to pass cut score, so the AE PMF should use a cut score rather than align to GEDTS’s “likely to pass”

Outcome

- › DC PCSB’s updated proposal will take into account extenuating circumstances that may not allow someone to sit for the GED test
 - › More discussion on this today
- › The “likely to pass” and passing GED scores would always align with the passing score in DC
- › GEDTS shared that they have no plans to make adjustments for the next 6-7 years (they made adjustments while calibrating the new 2014 GED test)

Comments on the proposed business rules to update the floors and targets for Student Progress and CCR measures

Feedback:

- Three schools noted agreement with the proposal
- Five schools had no feedback

Outcome

- DC PCSB will ask for the task force's recommendation on moving forward with this proposal with this month's feedback form