GED Subject Test Achievement Measure

1. My LEA agrees with the updated business rule proposal for the floor and target of 40 and 100 for this measure.

   YES    NO   (circle one)

2. Comments or questions about the proposed floor and target:

Updating Floors and Targets for the Student Progress and CCR Measures

This item was proposed and discussed at the March task force meeting, but the task force recommendation was postponed until this month in case school’s tiering proposals influenced the decision. None of the tiering proposals included changing the floors or targets for these measures.

1. My LEA agrees with the proposal to update the floors and targets using the business rules presented at the March task force meeting. (See below and slides 35-47 from the March meeting presentation for more detail)

   YES    NO   (circle one)

   Business Rule
   • The 65% of range cutoff is Maryland’s two-year average plus 1%
   • The 35% of range cutoff is the average three lowest states and/or AE sector
   • Data are based on a two-year average of NRS 2013-14 and 2014-15 data for the 50 states and DC; also, using a two-year average of AE PMF data for the AE public charter school sector aggregated at the student level
   • If the 65% and 35% of range cutoffs yield a spread between the floor and target that is less than 30 points, then the floor is lowered to make a 30-point spread
   • No floor or target will increase or decrease by more than 33.3% from the 2015-16 floor or target (this may mean that some floors and targets have slightly less than a 30-point spread)

2. Comments or questions about the proposed update to floors and targets for these measures:
AE PMF Tiering Proposals

1. My LEA prefers the following tiering proposal: (circle one)
   - Option 1: The current tier structure
   - Option 2: The Academy of Hope PCS proposal
   - Option 3: The Carlos Rosario PCS proposal
   - Option 4: The LAYC Career Academy PCS proposal

2. Comments or questions on the tiering proposals:

Meeting Feedback

On a five-point scale, where "5" is extremely satisfied and "1" is extremely dissatisfied, how satisfied are you with today’s meeting?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extremely Dissatisfied</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>Extremely Satisfied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On a five-point scale, where "5" is strongly agree and "1" is strongly disagree, please rate your thoughts on the following question: Today’s meeting was a good use of time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is the final scheduled AE PMF task force meeting for changes to the 2016-17 AE PMF. Are there other conversations, issues, or topics you would like to discuss next year for the 2017-18 AE PMF?