



High School PMF Task Force Meeting Notes

January 20, 2016 | DC PCSB | 12:30-2:00

Attendees:

DC PCSB: Sareeta Schmitt, Naomi DeVeaux, Rashida Tyler, Erin Kupferberg, Adam Bethke, and Alyssa Sutherland

BASIS DC: Robert Biemesderfer

Capital City: Belicia Reeves

Cesar Chavez: Nicoisa Young

E.L. Haynes: Kenli Okada

Friendship: Zac Morford

IDEA: Justin Rydstrom

KIPP DC: Ed Han

Maya Angelou: Nora Shetty

National Collegiate Prep: Ana Navarro

Richard Wright: Alicia Roberts

SEED: William West

TMA: Rich Pohlman

Empower K12: Josh Boots

FOCUS: Irene Holtzman and Anne Herr

Meeting Notes:

Student Growth

- DC PCSB reviewed three proposals on how to incorporate growth in the HS PMF for 2015-16 given that MGP was not valid on the 2014-15 HS PMF
- Sareeta clarified that the proposals are not to mix-and-match growth calculations but for all schools to move forward with one
- Josh: is it worth spending a lot of time on math for the short term if we're okay if we're okay scoring without MGP math?
 - Naomi clarified that there is not an appetite for the board to have no MGP on the PMF or to omit math MGP in the long term
- The task force members were generally not in favor of Proposal #3, using the optional PARCC high school assessments to calculate growth for the HS PMF
 - Ed noted that KIPP is not interested in using 9th grade assessments for accountability, but they want to use them internally to get a baseline
- Rob noted that BASIS is continuing with the PARCC Integrated Math II test next year
 - Sample size will likely be an issue since they are the only schools giving this assessment
 - In the future once the PARCC consortium has a growth measure this problem could be alleviated
- The long term plan with the consortium growth measure is to run the SGPs for a broad set of pathways
- Josh: Does the 8th grade test have a geometry strand score? Can Tembo look at the correlation b/w 8th grade and 10th.

- DC PCSB can work with OSSE on some of these MGP questions
 - Perhaps OSSE will hold another MGP working group for LEA feedback
- The group was generally leaning toward Proposal #2.
- Did you look at other metrics of growth?
 - Yes, DC PCSB looked at PSAT to SAT, but a lot of the research says not to do it.
 - This was largely based on the different purposes of the assessments
- Josh wondered if the scale score correlation is okay, then perhaps we could do something

PSAT Performance

- In order to explore whether to include 10th grade scores, we would like to get 10th grade data to compare to 11th grade data.
- If you have current data and are willing to share it, that would be great
- FOCUS liked the idea of allowing for an alternative timeframe to show college readiness on the PSAT
- The group briefly discussed the new meaning of the PSAT scores based on the College Board's redesign
- The group discussed the possibility of an alternative assessment to the PSAT for the HS PMF notably the ACT Aspire
- SEED is giving ACT Aspire
- Josh brought up NWEA MAP as a potential alternative to PSAT
 - NWEA has done an ACT linking
 - Sareeta noted that MWEA MAP is often not considered as strong in high school grades
 - Josh agreed for growth, but we could just look at RIT scores
- DC PCSB will add NWEA MAP to the alternative assessments on the voting form
- A broader philosophical question of including PSAT was brought up by a task force member
 - For now, DC PCSB is not proposing this kind of a change
 - In the future, we may look at some bigger changes to weights and measures
- Zac noted that he would like to revisit the floor and target for the AP/IB/dual enrollment measure at some point; March would be fine