# Early Childhood PMF Task Force Meeting

July 8, 2013

## Agenda

- Revisit PK-3 and PK-4 and take a vote on whether we can adopt the EC PMF for PK to be the QRIS for public charter schools. This requires agreement to use CLASS.
  - Compare QRIS and EC PMF (PK)
  - Understand EC PMF proposal and Make Changes
    - Indicators
    - Weights
  - Discuss Floors and Targets for CLASS
  - Vote
- K-2<sup>nd</sup> EC PMF/ K-3<sup>rd</sup> EC PMF
  - To prepare K-2nd grade students to succeed by 3rd grade on the DC CAS
  - 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade PMF- for schools that end in 3<sup>rd</sup> grade
  - Vote
- Review procedure to add assessments to EC PMF
- Agree and vote on business rules for EC PMF

#### **EC Goal**

 To produce a taskforce-generated, board approved Early Childhood PMF that measures school progress towards preparing students to be successful learners in schools serving Pre-K-3 through 2nd grades\*

<sup>\*</sup>For schools serving up to the 3<sup>rd</sup> grade, 3<sup>rd</sup> grade will be included.

## Prekindergarten Goal

- OSSE feels that it is also responsible for evaluating PK-3 and PK-4 but is willing to work with charters to come up with ONE rating system for public charter schools.
- PCSB sees the advantage of having one system for all PK programs.
- Non-negotiable for OSSE—all schools use CLASS.

Charters should be measured on outcomes

## QRIS—OSSE's Proposal

Teacher Interaction – CLASS (40%)		Program (45%	Leading Indicator (15%)		
Emotional Support	Classroom Organization	Instructional Support	Nationally Accredited for PK	Teacher Qualification (BA for lead teacher, AA for assistant)	Attendance 90-100% Goal
10%	10%	20%	30%	15%	15%

We want each domain to be weighed the same

Changed to 15% to align

#### QRIS For Charter Schools—PCSB's Proposal

Teacher Interaction – CLASS (30%)		Student Progress Outcomes (55%)			Attendance (15%)	
Emotional Support	Classroom Organization	Instructional Support	Literacy/ Math Socio- Language Emotional		90-100% Goal	
10%	10%	10%	55%			15%

# PCSB's Proposal's Continued

Option	Teacher Interaction (30%)	Student Progress Outcomes (55%)			Leading Indicator (15%)
	CLASS	Literacy Language	Math	Social- Emotional	Attendance
1	30%	27.5%	27.5%	NA	15%
2	30%	20%	20%	15%	15%

## **CLASS** Observations

- OSSE's Proposal
  - All observations completed in winter on every PK classroom
- PCSB/PCS Wants:
  - Control as the contact for charters (Erin would remain contact)
  - Control of money to choose the consulting group(s)
  - Four cycles across all sectors over three month period (Jan-March)

## **CLASS** Proposed Targets and Floors

Data from PILOT Scores	PILOT Percentiles			PCSB Pilot Avg.
Domain	90 <sup>th</sup>	50 <sup>th</sup>	10 <sup>th</sup>	
<b>Emotional Support</b>	6.3	5.7	4.6	5.56
Classroom Organization	6.1	5.3	4.2	5.24
Instructional Support	4.3	2.2	1.2	2.5

Domain	PMF Proposed Target	PMF Proposed Floor	OSSE's proposed Target for GOLD	6-7 is the
<b>Emotional Support</b>	6	4.6	6	recommended high score for all
Classroom Organization	6	4.2	6	domains, 3-5 is medium, 1-2 is low
Instructional Support	4.3	1.2	5	<b>, </b>

## One accountability system for PK

All PK Programs	Public Charter Schools
CLASS—all PK classrooms	CLASS—all PK classrooms
	<ul> <li>PCSB organizes observations</li> </ul>
	• Equal weight for CLASS Domains
	<ul> <li>CLASS cannot weigh more than student outcomes</li> </ul>
Teacher Qualifications	SRA definition for HQT, if at all.
Attendance	Attendance
Accreditation – willing to waive for PCS and DCPS	
Willing to consider student outcomes for PCS (and DCPS?)	Student Outcomes

## VOTE

- PCSB proposes the EC Task Force agree to using ONE rating system for QRIS and EC PMF with the following:
  - Indicators and Targets and Floors
    - CLASS –with targets and floors set at 90<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> percentile
    - Literacy, Math, Socio-emotional with targets and floors set once pilot data is analyzed
    - and attendance with target and floors set at: 90<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> percentile once attendance data is validated
  - Weights: CLASS: 30%; outcomes: 55%; attendance: 15%

# Kindergarten – 2<sup>nd</sup> grade Goal

 To prepare K-2<sup>nd</sup> grade students to succeed by 3<sup>rd</sup> grade on the DC CAS

# K-2 – Possible Options

Option	Student Progress and/or Achievement (70-80%)			Leading I (20	
	Reading Progress or Achievement	Math Progress or Achievement	Social- Emotional Progress	Attendance	Re- enrollment
1	40%	40%	NA	10%	10%
2	35%	35%	10%	10%	10%

If PK attendance is 15%, do we want the K-2 attendance to align with PK or ES/MS PMF?

## Schools That End in 3rd Grade

• PMF (3<sup>rd</sup> Grade Indicators):

DC CAS	Reading (P & A)	Reading (A Only)	Math (P & A)	Math (A Only)	Attendance	Reenroll- ment
3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade	35%	5%	35%	5%	10%	10%

• P: Proficient, A: Advanced

#### **VOTE**

- PCSB proposes the EC Task Force agree to using ONE rating system for K-2 and K-3 schools:
  - Indicators and Targets and Floors
    - Assessment target and floors discussed once pilot data analyzed-Sept. 2013
    - 3<sup>rd</sup> grade targets and floors same as ES PMF
  - Weights:
    - K-2 Assessments: Progress/Achievement-70%-80%, Leading Indicators (20%)
    - 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade: Achievement 80%, Leading Indicators 20%

#### Assessments

- PK 3 & 4
  - Growth only
  - Aligned to publisher recommendations for developmentally appropriate growth targets
- K-2
  - Achievement- will correlate with success on 3<sup>rd</sup> grade DC CAS through data analysis
  - Progress- will show a student decreasing path to achievement by 1/3

#### Social-Emotional Assessments

- SEL Assessments approved
  - DECA
  - GOLD
  - CK PAT
- In the process of approving
  - TCRS
  - ECR

### **Business Rules**

- Agreed Upon:
  - Participation 5% overall if school tests at least 95% of students
- Discussion Board Items:
  - Missing Metrics Fewer overall points
  - N Size include all data but only display min. of 10 students
  - Student Attrition to Schools FAY
  - New Schools No tier 1<sup>st</sup> year (unless requested)
  - Floors and Targets methodology developed after comprehensive review of actual performance data for assessments
  - Re-enrollment & Attendance based on grade band data targets and floors – 90<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> percentiles (similar to ES/MS PMF)
  - Tiers methodology will be developed after floors and targets have been established for each metric in September meeting.
  - \*Maintain assessment for three years minimum (start year 1 of EC PMF)
  - \*Ability to add assessments annually

#### VOTE

- Missing Metrics Fewer overall points
- Participation 5% overall if school tests at least 95% of students
- N Size include all data but only display min. of 10 students
- Apply the Full Academic Year rule to all indicators
- Apply the "New Schools No tier 1st year" Rule to EC PMF
- Floors and Targets methodology developed after comprehensive review of actual performance data for assessments
- Re-enrollment & Attendance based on grade band data– targets and floors – 90<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> percentiles (similar to ES/MS PMF)
- Tiers methodology will be developed after floors and targets have been established for each metric.
- Assessments- stay with assessment for three years minimum (start year 1 of EC PMF)
- Annual ability to add assessments

## **Comments & Questions**

# Thank you!